

REMARKS

The Examiner had given the following restriction in the Office Action of September 30, 2004:

Group I: Claims 1-16 and 23-26, drawn to a polymerization process, classified in class 526, subclass 344.

Group II: Claims 17 to 22, drawn to a polymer product, classified in class 526, subclass 344.

The Examiner's grouping was found improper because both Groups I and II contain process claims and polymer product claims. On October 12, Applicants' attorney, Shao-Hua Guo called the Examiner to discuss the restriction. During the telephone conversation, the Examiner regrouped the claims as follows:

Group I: Claims 1-7, 10-16, 19, 20, 23 and 24, drawn to a polymerization process.

Group II: Claims 8, 9, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, and 26, drawn to a polymer product.

Applicants elect Group I for prosecution. The claims of Group II are therefore cancelled without prejudice. Applicants reserve the right to reintroduce the cancelled claims in a divisional application. Applicants respectfully invite the Examiner to phone their attorney, Shao-Hua Guo, at (610) 359-6059 if a discussion of the application might be helpful.

Respectfully submitted,
Jia-Chu Liu et al.

By: 

Shao-Hua Guo
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 44,728
Lyondell Chemical Company
Phone: (610) 359-6059
10/13/2004
Customer Number: 24114